Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/797,348	BROSTROM, LYLE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Yevgeny Valenrod	1621
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Yevgeny Valenrod</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Philip Polster</u> .	. (4)	
Date of Interview: 13 December 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
•		
Claims discussed:		
	•	
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Attemts to contact Philip Polsnet were not successful	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	The examiner will provide a writted as the record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
•	•	
•		
THURMON K. PAGE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600		
	cant/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)